

	EGM on The Green	Visit to flood problem	Leaked emails	Gateway scheme unlawful	Comments about the chairman
C1	yes	yes	yes		yes
C2	yes		yes	yes	yes
C3		yes	yes	yes	yes
C4			yes		yes

Visit to flooding problem (1 of 2)	
Complainant:C1	Response
<p>On Tuesday 25<sup>th</sup> August, local residents visited Dr Clews' home to invite him to visit the home of a vulnerable and aged Parish resident, the victim of severe flooding. This was with the objective to show first-hand the effects of flooding and to enlist his support. During this encounter, Mr Clews again became very aggressive, rude dismissive to both the residents and myself. Through a partially open door, he waved his finger at me and shouted, "Oh no, it's not you is it? What the hell are you doing here?, This is all your bloody fault. No, I shall not be coming to see the water damage, it is not within my remit" and then tried to shut the front door in front of the residents and myself. At this point in time, another resident joined us who again witnessed this incident. These witnesses are prepared to make statements if necessary. Eventually Dr Clews did arrive at the vulnerable victim's address and was again rude to both ██████, myself and numerous other residents who had now joined the victim's family. It should be noted that Dr Clews' behaviour and demeanour resulted in one relative of the victim being reduced to tears. Again, there are independent witnesses who are prepared to provide the necessary testimony. I attended as a concerned neighbour and resident and not in my role as Councillor.</p>	<p>Persons unknown to me, clearly emotionally charged, turn up at my home demanding I visit the problem house. [Q: How did they find my address – another breach of Data Protection by this councillor] This problem has been raised and pursued by SPC from July 2018. The councillor given the responsibility was Bond (as minuted).</p> <p>The confirmation of the breach of Data Protection.</p> <p>I agreed to visit within an hour.</p> <p>I was accompanied at my request by another SPC councillor. The lady was already upset when I arrived. I believe it was the shouting (not by me) that finally caused her to be "reduced to tears". I barely said anything as I was quite apprehensive that we were close to witnessing a further loss of self-control by ██████ [C1] who was pacing up and down. It was suggested that ██████ should take him home.</p> <p>An altogether disturbing experience. My statements have been confirmed in a submission to the MO at SDC by the councillor who accompanied me.</p>

<b>Visit to flooding problem (2 of 2)</b>	
Complainant:C3	Response
<p><i>Arrogance, dismissive and rude -Flooding outside and into ██████ house on a regular basis. This was an agenda item taken to council previously for support in looking for a solution to the problem, when it happened on 25th August Dr Clews reluctantly witnessed the situation having been asked to attend he was rude, aggressive, arrogant and dismissive of such a frustrating situation for the family of the resident who was in hospital at the time recovering from a stroke. There was no empathy in any way towards the resident or the family.</i></p>	<p>This problem has been raised and pursued by SPC from July 2018. The councillor given the responsibility was Bond (as minuted).</p> <p>I barely said anything as I was quite apprehensive that we were close to witnessing a further loss of control by ██████ [C1] who was pacing up and down. It was suggested that ██████ should take him home.</p> <p>An altogether disturbing experience. My statements have been confirmed in a submission to the MO at SDC.</p>

<b>Visit to flooding problem Sambourne – Monitoring Officer’s comments and conclusions</b>
<p>What little first-hand evidence...of his [Cllr Clews] alleged poor behaviour is sparse, vague and non-specific...little more than generalised name-calling.</p> <p>Looked at objectively there is evidence to suggest that C1 orchestrated the deputation at Cllr Clews’ house</p> <p>In doing so C1 seems likely to have given the impression that Cllr Clews had the power to resolve the flooding problem when in fact he (at best) only had influence.</p> <p>In fact C1 himself appeared to have been rather more knowledgeable than Cllr Clews about the flooding (as evidenced by C1’s contributions recorded in Council minutes for January, March, September and November 2019).</p> <p>It appears to us that the weight of evidence suggests that C1 not Cllr Clews had behaved the more inappropriately</p> <p>Given the date of Cllr Clews’ complaint against C1 [<i>a few weeks earlier</i>] it appears possible that there was once again a “tit-for-tat” aspect to C1’s complaint against him...His [C1’s] denial that he was in capacity that morning appears to tell us its own story.</p> <p><b>Conclusion: no breach..</b></p>